



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,214	03/29/2004	Krzysztof W. Pankiewicz	08841.105019 CON 2	3043	
20786 75	90 03/09/2006		EXAMINER		
KING & SPA		DESAI, RITA J			
191 PEACHTR 45TH FLOOR	EE STREET, N.E.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	GA 30303-1763		1625		
			DATE MAN ED 02/00/200	_	

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	No. Applicant(s)				
		10/812,2	14	PANKIEWICZ ET	AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Rita J. De		1625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on th	e cover sheet i	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per tere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set tely received by the Office later than three months after the ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even on. Deriod will apply and we statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUN rent, however, may a vill expire SIX (6) MC olication to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	01 September :	2005.					
		This action is r						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) is/are anowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) <u>r-s</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election r	eguirement.					
	on Papers		- 4					
_	•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)[_]	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ie Examiner. No	ote the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI	•		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT)	O-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	D/U0)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/812,214 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

The claims 1-9 rejection under 35 USC 112 has been withdrawn since applicants have amended the claims to delete X as being NR.

The claims 1-3 and 8,9 rejection under 35 USC 103 over Lesiak et al 1997 has also been withdrawn since applicants arguments are convincing. Applicant's priority goes down to 2/13/1997 and reference abstract is up to July 1997.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glonek et al Full Anhydrization ... 1975.

In combination with WO 92/174488 Ingall et al

Or Zatorski et al Chemical Synthesis of Benzamide... 1996 May.

Applicants claims are drawn to compounds and a process of making these compound. of the formula as given in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,214 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

The process uses a compound of the formula

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

The Glonek reference teaches a process of making the methylene diphosponic acids using dicylcohexylcarbodiimide.

The different compounds are disclosed, especially see compound VII as given on page 1599.

Also see table I which gives the chemical shifts of each of the compounds.

The WO 92/17488 teaches the ATP analogs with bis phosphate group. The process uses the compounds of formula V on page 4 of the reference.

The Zatorski et al reference teaches the treatment of (isopropylidene –ribofuranosyl) benzamide See the scheme 1 on page 1289 of the reference.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The Glonek reference does not disclose the specific starting compounds as given in claim 7 to give the specific compounds as given in claim 8.

However the Na salts attached at the same position are shown.

The WO '488 teaches the groups and the motivation to use the specific starting material.

So does the Zatorski reference. Which clearly teaches the compounds but not the intermediate that would be formed as given by Glonek reference.

Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

Application/Control Number: 10/812,214 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

Since the process and mechanism of making the core is disclosed in the Glonek reference, one of skill in the art would use the different Z substitutions as taught by the WO '488 or the Zatorski reference to obtain the compounds of the invention.

Conclusion

The claims 1-9 are not found to be allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita J. Desai whose telephone number is 571-272-0684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday,9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rita J. Desai Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

RNes 16/06

R.D. March 6th 2006.